

# Comparison of clinical and echocardiographic parameters in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy older and younger than 60 years old

Elżbieta Wabich<sup>1</sup> , Grzegorz Raczak<sup>2</sup> , Katarzyna Rozwadowska<sup>1</sup> ,  
Agnieszka Zienciuk-Krajka<sup>2</sup> , Wiktor Szymanowicz<sup>3</sup>, Dariusz Kozłowski<sup>2</sup> ,  
Anna M. Kaleta<sup>4</sup>, Ludmiła Daniłowicz-Szymanowicz<sup>2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Clinical Centre of Cardiology, University Clinical Centre, Gdańsk, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Department of Cardiology and Electrotherapy, Medical University of Gdańsk, Poland

<sup>3</sup> Department of Cardiac Anaesthesia, Medical University of Gdańsk, Poland

<sup>4</sup> Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland

## Abstract

**Background:** Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy (HCM) is one of the most common genetic myocardial diseases. Transthoracic echocardiography which includes speckle tracking technique is tool for HCM diagnosis and monitoring the course of the disease. The aim of this study was to compare clinical and echocardiographic parameters in HCM patients older and younger than 60 years old (yo). **Materials and methods:** We prospectively enrolled 53 HCM patients, who were divided into two groups: younger and older than 60 yo. Clinical parameters, standard echocardiographic indices, as well as strain parameters were assessed and compared between the groups.

**Results:** The older subgroup was characterized by a higher prevalence of coronary artery disease. In the younger subgroup the incidence of atrial fibrillation was quite high, which occurs far more often than in the general population. Echocardiographic analysis showed worse diastolic function in older, as well as lower volume of the LV. The global longitudinal strain was worse in <60 patients. The 3D strain parameters differed significantly between the groups: the area and radial strains were worse in younger patients. **Conclusions:** HCM patients older and younger than 60 yo differ significantly in terms of clinical and echocardiographic parameters.

**Keywords:** hemorrhoids • internet • patient preference • google trends • search engine

## Citation

Wabich E, Raczak G, Rozwadowska K, Zienciuk-Krajka A, Szymanowicz W, Kozłowski D, et al. Comparison of clinical and echocardiographic parameters in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy under and over 60 years of age. *Eur J Transl Clin Med.* 2018;1(2):28-35.

DOI: 10.31373/ejtcmm/99667

### Corresponding author:

Elżbieta Wabich, Clinical Centre of Cardiology, University Clinical Centre, Gdańsk, Poland  
e-mail: wabich.ela@gmail.com

No external funds.

Available online: [www.ejtcmm.gumed.edu.pl](http://www.ejtcmm.gumed.edu.pl)

Copyright © Medical University of Gdańsk

This is Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International.



## Introduction

Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy (HCM) is one of the most frequent genetic diseases, constituting up to 0,2% of general population [1]. In this medical condition the thickness of one or more segments of the left ventricular wall is  $\geq 15$  mm and it cannot be explained by the increased left ventricle load [2]. In 60% of adults, the disease is associated with mutations of the cardiac genes coding for sarcomere proteins [2]. Other reasons underlying the development of HCM include congenital metabolic disorders, neuromuscular diseases, mitochondrial diseases, congenital malformations, amyloidosis, drug-induced hypertrophy. However, in 25-30% of patients, the cause of HCM remains unknown. [2]

Transthoracic echocardiography is the most important tool for HCM diagnosis and checking the development of the disease. This method allows to determine the basic parameters describing HCM: hypertrophy of the left ventricular (LV) segments, left atrial (LA) enlargement and LV diastolic dysfunction, which are natural consequences of this disease. Currently available *speckle tracking technique* additionally allows a detailed assessment of segmental and global LV function [3-7]. This dynamically developing domain of echocardiography allows researchers to assess the function of the LV at very early, preclinical stage of the disease, when the left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF), assessed by standard echocardiography, is usually preserved [8].

Most of the HCM population studies concern on young patients, before the age of 60. But only few concentrate on elderly patients [9-11], thus it should be emphasized that this is a group in which the HCM diagnosis is more frequent. This situation is most likely a consequence of the growing number of echocardiographic examinations in elderly people and the high availability of echocardiography [11]. Despite the wide availability of echocardiography, there are still no clearly defined guidelines for the management and risk stratification in elderly people with HCM [9-11]. In literature there is also no data concerning to a comparison of older and younger patients with HCM.

## Aim

The aim of the present study was to compare clinical and echocardiographic parameters (by standard as well as *speckle tracking* echocardiography) in HCM persons older and younger than 60 years old.

## Material and methods

Fifty three HCM patients were prospectively enrolled [2]. Exclusion criteria were: <18 years of age, with NYHA class IV heart failure, coronary or hemodynamic instability at the moment of enrollment, a history of hospitalization due to cardiological reasons within the 3 months prior to enrollment and poor clinical prognosis.

### Echocardiography

Echocardiography was performed in every person (GE VIVID E9; probe M5S; offline analysis using EchoPAC). During the same examination, 3D datasets were obtained in apical view using a matrix-array 3D transducer (4V). Standard echocardiographic parameters were measured according to relevant guidelines [12-14].

### Standard echocardiographic parameters

The LA anterior-posterior size (LADs), LV end-diastolic diameters (LVEDD), LV end-systolic diameter (LVESD), intraventricular septal (IVS) and posterior wall (PW) were measured in parasternal view. In sum, relative wall thickness (RWT) =  $(IVS + PW) / LVEDD$ . Whereas in apical four-chamber view the right ventricular internal diameter (RVID), tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) by M-MODE technique, and LV volumes were measured. LVEF was calculated using the biplane Simpson's method. The mitral diastolic velocity (E and A velocities, E deceleration time – DT), Em (the average from septal and lateral by tissue Doppler), and E/Em ratio were calculated. Tissue doppler was used for measurement the maximum systolic annular velocity (S') for RV (S'RV).

### Speckle tracking parameters

Two-D longitudinal *speckle tracking* analysis was performed with the use of three endocardial markers (at the end-diastolic frame: at apical four- and three-chamber views). EchoPAC software automatically tracked the contour of endocardium and later this was verified (and corrected if necessary) as described in literature [15]. To quantify the apical and basal LV rotations, appropriate short-axis planes were scanned [16]. Counter-clockwise rotations (from the LV apex) was calculated as a positive; whereas clockwise rotations - a negative value. LV twist was the highest net difference in degrees between the apical and basal rotation. LV torsion was defined as LV twist indexed by LV diastolic longitudinal length. Peak systolic (peak rotation) velocity and early diastolic apical and basal rotation (untwisting) velocity were derived from rotation rate curves.

### LA strain 2D

Two- and four-chamber apical views were determined by finding the largest long-axis. Due to the absence of an LA-specific software, we used software dedicated to LV analysis as described in the literature [15, 17].

### 3D parameters

The appropriate software (4DQ analyses) was used for LV end-systolic, end-diastolic volumes, LVEF and 3D strains measurement. Calculation of 3D area strain (3D-AS) which means global longitudinal plus circumferential strain, and 3D global radial strain (3D-RS) was performed by averaging the end-systolic segmental values when at least 14 segments had acceptable tracking [16, 18].

We divided all patients in 2 groups according their age: below 60 years of age (<60) and 60 years or older ( $\geq 60$ ). The further analysis were compared between these subgroups. The protocol of the study was accepted by the Local Ethics Committee and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

### Statistical analysis

All continuous data were presented as median (25th-75th), categorical data in proportion. Mann-Whitney U test and Pearson's chi-square test were used to compare the differences between the groups. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The statistical analysis was performed using the R 2.15.2 environment.

## Results

From enrolled 53 patients, subgroups <60 yo and  $\geq 60$  yo was formed of 39 and 14 patients respectively. The higher incidence of hypertension, type 2 diabetes and hyperlipidemia was observed in the older group, differences in frequency of ischemic heart disease reached statistically significant values (Table 1). Particularly noteworthy is the frequency of atrial fibrillation, which was similar in both subgroups, older and younger. However, the 5-year risk of sudden cardiac death, calculated using the European Society of Cardiology calculator was lower in older people [2]. This result was of borderline statistical significance.

Analysis of the standard echocardiographic parameters showed a worse diastolic function in the older

**Table 1. Clinical characteristics of < 60 and  $\geq 60$  HCM patients**

	HCM < 60 n = 39	HCM $\geq 60$ n = 14	P
<b>5-year risk of SCD</b>	<b>4.0 (2.9 - 7.4)</b>	<b>3.6 (2.2 - 3.9)</b>	<b>0.077</b>
Arterial Hypertension	18 (45%)	13 (65%)	0.177
<b>Coronary Artery Disease</b>	<b>0 (0%)</b>	<b>3 (15%)</b>	<b>&lt;0.033</b>
Diabetes Melitius type 2	5 (12.5%)	5 (25%)	0.278
Hyperlipidaemia	22 (55%)	15 (79%)	0.091
Atrial Fibrillation / Atrial Flutter	11 (27.5%)	6 (30%)	1.000
Family history of Sudden Cardiac Death	8 (20%)	5 (25%)	0.744
Cardiac Arrest	3 (7.5%)	2 (10%)	1.000
Non-sustained Ventricular Tachycardia	11 (27.5%)	5 (26%)	1.000
Intravenous Cardioverter-Defibrillator	11 (27.5%)	4 (20%)	0.753

Table 2. Standard echocardiographic parameters in &lt; 60 and ≥ 60 HCM patients

	HCM < 60 n = 39	HCM ≥ 60 n = 14	P
HR (bpm)	64 (60-70)	60 (54-64)	<0.0310
AVC (msec)	360 (329-401.7)	365.5 (343-421.7)	0.1839
LADs (mm)	45 (43 - 48)	44 (42-46)	0.107
LAA index	14.1 (12.9-16.0)	15.0 (12.3-16.0)	0.439
LAV index (ml/BSA)	56.7 (42.7-62.7)	50.7 (38.9-57.4)	0.113
LV mass index (g/BSA)	117 (110-136)	115 (111-117)	0.309
LVEDd (mm)	40 (36-44)	41 (36-42)	0.469
LVESd (mm)	23 (21-25)	24 (19-26)	0.485
<b>E/A</b>	<b>1.2 (0.8-1.5)</b>	<b>0.8 (0.7-0.9)</b>	<b>&lt;0.028</b>
<b>DecT (msec)</b>	<b>173 (147-232)</b>	<b>267 (201-315)</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
A dur mitr (msec)	157 (143-179)	173 (156-194)	0.076
<b>Em (cm/s)</b>	<b>0.06 (0.05-0.08)</b>	<b>0.05 (0.05-0.07)</b>	<b>&lt;0.029</b>
<b>E/Em</b>	<b>10.2 (7.9-13.9)</b>	<b>12.0 (10.2-17.7)</b>	<b>&lt;0.033</b>
S pulm (m/s)	0.56 (0.48-0.64)	0.65 (0.51-0.78)	0.089
D pulm. (m/s)	0.45 (0.36-0.52)	0.40 (0.33-0.50)	0.356
<b>A pulm (m/s)</b>	<b>0.31 (0.27-0.37)</b>	<b>0.37 (0.32 0.43)</b>	<b>&lt;0.026</b>
<b>A dur pulm (msec)</b>	<b>111 (97-125)</b>	<b>134 (125-155)</b>	<b>&lt;0.012</b>
<b>LVEDV (ml)</b>	<b>99 (82-114)</b>	<b>65 (49-84)</b>	<b>&lt;0.025</b>
<b>LVESV (ml)</b>	<b>35 (26-44)</b>	<b>18 (14-26)</b>	<b>&lt;0.006</b>
LVEF (%)	65 (59-69)	64 (52-71)	0.319

HCM – Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, BSA – Body surface index; HR – heart rate, AVC – aortic valve closure, LADs - left atrial diameter, LAA index - Left atrial area index, LAV index – Indexed Left atrial volume, LV mass index – Indexed Left Ventricular mass , LVEDd - left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, LVESd - Left ventricular end – systolic diameter, E/A – the ratio of early-to-late peak mitral velocities, DecT - Deceleration time, A dur mitr. – Mitral Adur, Em – early diastolic mitral myocardial peak velocity averaged from two positions, E/Em – ratio between E and Em, S - pulmonary venous systolic wave, D - pulmonary venous early diastolic wave, Adur pulm – Pulmonary Adur, LVEDV - Left ventricular end-diastolic volume, LVESV- left ventricular end-systolic volume, LVEF - Ejection fraction

Table 3. 2D- and 3D – strain parameters in &lt; 60 and ≥ 60 HCM patients

	HCM < 60 n= 39	HCM ≥ 60 n= 14	P
<b>2D LA peak strain 4Ch (%)</b>	15.0 (12.3-19.6)	18.3 (14.1-19.0)	0.265
<b>2D LA peak strain 2Ch (%)</b>	18.6 (13.1-23.9)	19.0 (17.2-22.5)	0.467
<b>2D - Br (°)</b>	-8.4 (-11.9/-5.4)	-7.4 (-10.5/-4.9)	0.292
<b>2D - Ar (°)</b>	12.0 (8.1-16.6)	16.1 (9.8-17.1)	0.310
<b>2D - Twist (°)</b>	21.01 (16.0-25.0)	21.1 (16.0-25.0)	0.298
<b>2D - Torsion (°/cm)</b>	2.9 (2.2-3.3)	2.0 (1.8-3.5)	0.447
<b>2D - Peak LV twisting rate (°/sek)</b>	110.5 (81.5-133.5)	112.7 (91.9-123.0)	0.462
<b>2D - Peak LV untwisting rate (°/sek)</b>	-101.7 (-135.7/-61.5)	-84.2(-113.6/-72.2)	0.280
<b>2D – GLS (%)</b>	-14.8 (-17.0/-12.7)	-16.0 (-19.8/-12.3)	0.196
<b>3D – AS (%)</b>	<b>-22.0 (-25.8/-19.3)</b>	<b>-26.0 (-25.8/-19.3)</b>	<b>&lt;0.037</b>
<b>3D - RS (%)</b>	<b>33.0 (27.3-40.0)</b>	<b>40.0 (34.0-46.0)</b>	<b>&lt;0.031</b>

GLS – global longitudinal strain, Br – maximal basal rotation, Ar – maximal apical rotation, Twist – twist of the left ventricle, Torsion – torsion of the left ventricle, RS – radial strain, AS – area strain

subgroup (values of E/A, decT, Em, E/Em, A pulm and A dur pulm parameters - see Table 2). The 2D-peak LV untwisting rate parameter which corresponds to the diastolic function was worse in the older group, although the differences were not reach statistical significance (Table 3). In ≥60 HCM patients we found significantly lower LV volumes (Table 2).

The 2D speckle tracking analysis did not reveal statistically significant differences between the subgroups, although it should be noticed, that the longitudinal strain, measured by 2D-GLS, was worse in the subgroup <60. The 3D strain parameters were significantly worse in younger HCM patients.

## Discussion

Our findings revealed a number of clinical and echocardiographic differences among patients with HCM. As expected, our older patients (≥60) had more comorbidities (particularly coronary artery disease), which was in accordance with the data from the literature and consistent with population data [20]. The incidence of atrial fibrillation (AF) among the study population deserves the special attention (Table 1). In the general population the frequency of AF increases with age [21], whereas in our study every 3<sup>rd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> patient in both age groups had history of AF. This arrhy-

thmia is the most common complication of HCM, thus rigorous monitoring for its occurrence is necessary and the anticoagulant treatment should be administered regardless the CHA2DS2-VASc score [2, 21].

The early appearance of diastolic dysfunction in HCM patients is a common symptom described in the literature [19, 22]. In the healthy population diastolic dysfunction progresses with age and is a proof of the natural aging process in the myocardium [23]. As a matter of fact, in our study the diastolic dysfunction was more expressed in patients  $\geq 60$ , but also in younger patients those parameters were worse than in a healthy population of corresponding age [19, 22-23]. It is known that the parameters of size and function of LA deteriorate with the progression of diastolic dysfunction. It is also known that the processes of fibrosis and hypertrophy of LV always goes straightforwardly with remodelling of LA [24]. This statement has a proven predictive value; for example Ioi et al demonstrated that serious cardiac events in HCM patients were independently related with increased LAVI [4]. The results of our study confirm that dependencies: our patients in both age groups had increased LADs, LAAI and LAVI in comparison to the healthy population from the literature [4].

An important parameter evaluated in our study is LA speckle tracking analysis. The data from literature indicate the potential usefulness of the speckle tracking technique in predicting the cardiac events among HCM patients. For example Fujimoto et al proved that LA peak longitudinal strain  $< 20.3\%$  is associated with an increased risk of heart failure and atrial fibrillation in the follow up [17, 25]. A similar observation was also presented by the other authors [4, 10, 15]. In our study, in both age subgroups the LA peak longitudinal strain was  $< 20.3\%$  (Table 2), which may have significant clinical implications and requires further research.

LVEF in persons with HCM is usually preserved or increased when compared with the healthy population [26]. Our study confirms that the median value of LVEF in both age subgroups was  $> 50\%$  (the lower limit of normal range) (Table 2). However, LV longitudinal strain is usually worse in HCM patients than in healthy people which is in agreement with our results. The median value of 2D-GLS for an older and younger subgroups stood at  $-16.0\%$  and  $-14.8\%$  respectively, whilst in healthy population the value of 2D-GLS stands at  $-20\%$  and more [27]. Debonnaire et al present interesting analyses, which show that the values of 2D-GLS  $< 14\%$  and LAVI  $> 34\text{ml/m}^2$  are independent predictors of adequate therapy of cardiac implantable cardioverter-defibrillators [3, 6]. In turn, Verge et al specifies the strain of the myocardial areas affected by hyper-

trophy as a predictor of sudden cardiac death [6].

Currently we can observe rising interest in myocardial strain analysis with the use of 3D echocardiography [18]. Our study presents interesting results in that regard: the area strain parameters (obtained by addition of longitudinal and circumferential strains), as well as the radial strain, were statistically significantly worse in the subgroup  $< 60$  yo (Table 3), which is in agreement with the literature and directly indicates on worse systolic function in younger subgroup [18].

Other interesting parameters, measured by the speckle tracking technique, are LV twist and torsion, which according to Zhang et al may be used as an echocardiographic marker of fibrosis grade in HCM patients [5, 7]. The above-mentioned study shows that the LV twist and torsion are much higher in patients with myocardial fibrosis confirmed by the cardiac magnetic resonance examination. The authors also suggest that thanks to these parameters, the speckle tracking echocardiography may be useful for assessing the grade of myocardial fibrosis [7]. In our study there were no differences between the age subgroups in terms of the extent of LV twist and torsion, although this topic undoubtedly requires further research.

Our study has a low sample size, which is partially the result of a highly selective enrollment process to achieve the best quality of images (FR from 50 to 80 frames/sec). Thus, larger study groups are strongly needed to verify our results. Secondly, our results were not verified by another imaging technique, for example cardiac magnetic resonance.

## Conclusions

Older HCM patients are characterized by a higher incidence of coronary artery disease, while younger ones by atrial fibrillation, which occurs far more often than in the general population. An echocardiographic analysis indicates worse diastolic function in group  $\geq 60$  yo and worse systolic function (measured by speckle tracking technique) in group  $< 60$  yo. The clinical relevance of analysed echocardiographic parameters, especially in terms of prognosis of the HCM patients, requires further research with a larger sample size.

## References

1. Gersh BJ, Maron BJ, Bonow RO, Dearani JA, Fifer MA, Link MS, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58(25):e212-e260.
2. Elliott PM, Anastasakis A, Borger MA, Borggrefe M, Cecchi F, Charron P, et al. 2014 ESC Guidelines on diagnosis and management of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35(39):2733–79.3.
3. Debonnaire P, Thijssen J, Leong DP, Joyce E, Katsanos S, Hoogslag GE, et al. Global longitudinal strain and left atrial volume index improve prediction of appropriate implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy patients. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;30(3):549–58.
4. Iio C, Inoue K, Nishimura K, Fujii A, Nagai T, Suzuki J, et al. Characteristics of Left Atrial Deformation Parameters and Their Prognostic Impact in Patients with Pathological Left Ventricular Hypertrophy: Analysis by Speckle Tracking Echocardiography. *Echocardiography*. 2015; 8;32(12):1821–30.
5. Popović ZB, Kwon DH, Mishra M, Buakhamsri A, Greenberg NL, Thamilarasan M, et al. Association Between Regional Ventricular Function and Myocardial Fibrosis in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Assessed by Speckle Tracking Echocardiography and Delayed Hyperenhancement Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2008;21(12):1299–305.
6. Vergé M-P, Cochet H, Reynaud A, Morlon L, Peyrou J, Vincent C, et al. Characterization of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy according to global, regional, and multi-layer longitudinal strain analysis, and prediction of sudden cardiac death. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;34(7):1091–8.
7. Zhang H-J, Wang H, Sun T, Lu M-J, Xu N, Wu W-C, et al. Assessment of left ventricular twist mechanics by speckle tracking echocardiography reveals association between LV twist and myocardial fibrosis in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;30(8):1539–48.
8. Fijałkowski M, Nowak R. Speckle tracking technique; *Clinical Echocardiography*; Lipiec P, Hoffman P et al; 1 ed., Warsaw, Total Project, 2017:50-57.
9. Maron BJ, Casey SA, Haas TS, Kitner CL, Garberich RF, Lesser JR. Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy With Longevity to 90 Years or Older. *Am J Cardiol*. 2012;109(9):1341–7.
10. Maron BJ, Casey SA, Hauser RG, Aeppli DM. Clinical course of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with survival to advanced age. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011; 58:2313-2321.
11. Maron BJ, Rowin EJ, Casey SA, Haas TS, Chan RHM, Udelson JE, et al. Risk Stratification and Outcome of Patients With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy  $\geq 60$  Years of Age. *Circulation*. 2012;127(5):585–93.
12. Belghiti H, et al. Automated function imaging: a new operator-independent strain method for assessing left ventricular function. *Arch Cardiovasc Des*. 2008; 101(3): 163-169.
13. Leitman M, Lysiansky M, Lysiansky P, Friedman Z, Tyomkin V, Fuchs T, et al. Circumferential and Longitudinal Strain in 3 Myocardial Layers in Normal Subjects and in Patients with Regional Left Ventricular Dysfunction. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2010;23(1):64–70.
14. Lipiec P, et al. Transthoracic echocardiography in adults – guidelines of the Working Group on Echocardiography of Polish Cardiac Society. *Kardiol Pol*. 2018; 76(2):488-493.
15. Iio C, Inoue K, Nishimura K, Fujii A, Nagai T, Suzuki J, et al. Characteristics of Left Atrial Deformation Parameters and Their Prognostic Impact in Patients with Pathological Left Ventricular Hypertrophy: Analysis by Speckle Tracking Echocardiography. *Echocardiography*. 2015;32(12):1821–30.
16. Thorstensen A, Dalen H, Hala P, Kiss G, D'hooge J, Torp H, et al. Three-Dimensional Echocardiography in the Evaluation of Global and Regional Function in Patients with Recent Myocardial Infarction: A Comparison with Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Echocardiography*. 2013;30(6):682–92.
17. Fujimoto K, Inoue K, Saito M, Higashi H, Kono T, Uetani T, et al. Incremental value of left atrial active function measured by speckle tracking echocardiography in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Echocardiography*. 2018;35(8):1138–48.
18. Aly MFA, Brouwer WP, Kleijn SA, van Rossum AC, Kamp O. Three-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography for the preclinical diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;30(3):523–33.
19. Hees PS, Fleg JL, Dong S-J, Shapiro EP. MRI and echocardiographic assessment of the diastolic dysfunction of normal aging: altered LV pressure decline or load? *Am J Physiol -Heart Circ Physiol*. 2004;286(2):H782–8.
20. Piepoli MF, Hoes A W, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, Catapano AL, et al. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37(29):2315–81.
21. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, Ahlsson A, Atar D, Casadei B, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37(38):2893–962.



22. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, et al. Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Hear J – Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet]. 2016;17(12):1321–60. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0894731716000444>
23. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, et al. Recommendations for Cardiac Chamber Quantification by Echocardiography in Adults: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J – Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;16(3):233–71.
24. Vieira MJ, Teixeira R, Gonçalves L, Gersh BJ. Left Atrial Mechanics: Echocardiographic Assessment and Clinical Implications. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2014;27(5):463–78.
25. Sarvari SI, Haugaa KH, Stokke TM, Ansari HZ, Leren IS, Hegbom F, et al. Strain echocardiographic assessment of left atrial function predicts recurrence of atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J – Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;17(6):660–7.
26. Zhang L. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: Can the noninvasive diagnostic testing identify high risk patients? *World J Cardiol* [Internet]. 2014;6(8):764. Available from: <http://www.wjnet.com/1949-8462/full/v6/i8/764.htm>
27. Voigt J-U, Pedrizzetti G, Lysyansky P, Marwick TH, Houle H, Baumann R, et al. Definitions for a Common Standard for 2D Speckle Tracking Echocardiography: Consensus Document of the EACVI/ASE/Industry Task Force to Standardize Deformation Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2015;28(2):183–93.